

## Mona and Denbighshire County Council (DCC) SoCG





Decume	ot ototus				
<b>Docume</b> Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Submission at Deadline 3	RPS	Mona Offshore Wind Limited	Mona Offshore Wind Limited	30 September 2024
Prepared RPS	by:		red for: Offshore Wind	Ltd.	
Signatori	08				
	<del></del>				
Signed					
Name					
Name Date					
Name					

Mona Offshore Wind Limited

Signed Name

Date

For

Position



## **Contents**

		REMENT OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT IRE COUNTY COUNCIL (DCC)	
1.1		ction	
	1.1.1	Overview	
	1.1.2	Mona Offshore Wind Project Elements under DCC's Remit	1
	1.1.3	Overview of Mona Offshore Wind Project	2
	1.1.4	Approach to SoCG	2
1.2	Summa	ary of SoCG	3
	1.2.1	Overview	3
	1.2.2	Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed	3
1.3	Summa	ary of Consultation	3
1.4	Agreen	nent log	6
	1.4.2	Onshore ecology	7
	1.4.3	Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions	14
	1.4.4	Hydrology and Flood Risk	17
	1.4.5	Noise and Vibration	
	1.4.6	Traffic and Transport	26
	1.4.7	Air Quality	31
	1.4.8	Landscape and Visual Resources	32
	1.4.9	Arboriculture	40
	1.4.10	Cumulative Effects Assessment	46
	1.4.11	Draft Development Consent Order	48
<b>Fables</b>			
Table 1.1:		ary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the partie	
Table 1.2:		ary of pre-application consultation with DCC	
Table 1.3:		ary of post-application consultation with DCC.	
Table 1.4:		n definitions and colour coding	
Table 1.5:	-	nent Log between the parties on Onshore Ecology	
Table 1.6:	-	nent Log between the parties on Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions	
Table 1.7:	-	nent Log between the parties on Hydrology and Flood Risk	
Table 1.8:	•	nent Log between the parties on Noise and Vibration	
Table 1.9:		nent Log between the parties on Traffic and Transport	
	-	nent Log between the parties on Air Quality	
		nent Log between the parties on Landscape and Visual Resources	
		nent Log between the parties on Arboriculture	
	•	nent Log between the parties on Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)	
Table 1 14 <sup>·</sup>	Agreen	nent Log between the parties on Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)	48



# **Glossary**

Term	Meaning
Applicant	Mona Offshore Wind Limited.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Marine licence	The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 requires a marine licence to be obtained for licensable marine activities. Section 149A of the Planning Act 2008 allows an applicant for a DCO to apply for a 'deemed' marine licence as part of the DCO process. In addition, licensable activities within 12nm of the Welsh coast require a separate marine licence from Natural Resource Wales (NRW).
Mona Offshore Wind Project	The Mona Offshore Wind Project is comprised of both the generation assets, offshore and onshore transmission assets, and associated activities.
Ecology Expert Working Group (EWG) – onshore	An expert working group comprising NRW, Denbighshire County Council, Conwy County Borough Council and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds.
Highways Expert Working Group	An expert working group comprising Denbighshire County Council, Conwy County Borough Council, North and Mid Wales Trunk Road Agent and Welsh Government.
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.

# **Acronyms**

Acronym	Description	
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice	
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan	
DCC	Denbighshire County Council	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
EWG	Expert Working Group	
GCN	Great Crested Newt	
HRA	Habitat Regulation Assessment	
ISAA	Information to Support Appropriate Assessment	
LEMP	Landscape and Ecology Management Plan	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	



# **Units**

Unit	Description
kV	Kilovolts



## 1 INITIAL STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT AND DENBIGHSHIRE **COUNTY COUNCIL (DCC)**

#### 1.1 Introduction

#### 1.1.1 **Overview**

- 1.1.1.1 This initial Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Mona Offshore Wind Limited (hereafter referred to as 'the Applicant') and Denbighshire County Council (DCC), together the parties. The SoCG sets out matters agreed and matters not agreed between the parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
- 1.1.1.2 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and DCC is set out within the Rule 6 letter that was issued by the Planning Inspectorate on 7 June 2024.
- 1.1.1.3 This document is intended to provide the Examining Authority with an overview of the level of common ground between the parties. The SoCG will identify where agreement has been reached, where differences lie and the reasons for not reaching agreement or outstanding matters. The SoCG will also be used to facilitate further discussion between the parties. The SoCG will be updated during the Mona Offshore Wind Project Examination.

#### 1.1.2 Mona Offshore Wind Project Elements under DCC's Remit

Elements of the Mona Offshore Wind Project which may affect the interests of DCC are Work Numbers 3 to 38 landward of Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS), onshore and intertidal works. These are detailed in Schedule 1 (Authorised Project), Part 1 (Authorised Development) of the Draft DCO (PDA-003).

- 1.1.2.1 This SoCG covers the following topics of relevance to DCC as agreed in a meeting between the parties on 16 August 2024:
  - Onshore ecology
  - Geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions
  - Hydrology and flood risk
  - Noise and vibration
  - Traffic and transport
  - Air quality
  - Landscape and visual resources
  - Arboriculture
  - **Cumulative Effects Assessment**
  - **Draft Development Consent Order**
- 1.1.2.2 In respect of the above topics, the following matters are covered in this SoCG:
  - Surveys
  - Baseline environment



- Project Design Envelope
- Assessment of effects from the project alone
- Assessment of effects from the project cumulatively with other projects
- Mitigation (including outline management plans).

## 1.1.3 Overview of Mona Offshore Wind Project

- 1.1.3.1 Mona Offshore Wind Project is a proposed offshore wind farm located in the east Irish Sea. The Mona Offshore Wind Project will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consist of:
  - Mona Array Area: This is where the wind turbines, Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs), foundations (for both wind turbines and OSPs), inter-array cables, interconnector cables and offshore export cables will be located
  - Mona Offshore Cable Corridor and Access Areas: The corridor located between the Mona Array Area and the landfall up to Mean High Water Springs (MHWS), in which the offshore export cables will be located and in which the intertidal access areas are located
  - Intertidal access areas: The area from MHWS to MLWS which will be used for access to the beach and construction related activities
  - Landfall: This is where the offshore export cables make contact with land and the transitional area where the offshore cabling connects to the onshore cabling
  - Mona Onshore Development Area: The area in which the landfall, Mona Onshore Cable Corridor, Mona Onshore Substation, mitigation areas, temporary construction infrastructure (such as access roads and construction compounds), operational access to the Mona Onshore Substation and the 400 kV connection to National Grid infrastructure will be located
  - Mona Onshore Substation: This is where the new substation will be located, containing the components for transforming the power supplied from the offshore wind farm up to 400 kV
  - Mona 400 kV Grid Connection Cable Corridor: The corridor from the Mona Onshore Substation to the National Grid substation.

## 1.1.4 Approach to SoCG

- 1.1.4.1 This initial SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination phase and will be progressed during the examination phases of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. In accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by DCC within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Archaeology and Heritage Engagement Forum that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties. This SoCG also includes those issues raised by DCC during the post-application phase (i.e. relevant representations, pre-examination meetings and the Local Impact Report (LIR)).
- 1.1.4.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
  - Section 1.1: Introduction
  - Section 1.2: Summary of SoCG
  - Section 1.3: Summary of consultation



Section 1.4: Agreement log.

#### 1.2 Summary of SoCG

#### 1.2.1 **Overview**

1.2.1.1 This initial SoCG outlines the consultation that has taken place between the parties during the pre-application and post-application phase of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. The agreement logs present the position reached on 07 August 2024 (Deadline 1).

#### Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and 1.2.2 **Not Agreed**

1.2.2.1 Table 1.1 provides a summary of those matters agreed, an ongoing point of discussion or not agreed between the parties.

**Table 1.1:** Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the parties.

Topic	Agreement status	
Onshore Ecology	Ongoing point under discussion	
Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions	Agreed (with exception of one not-agreed but not-material point)	
Hydrology and Flood Risk	Ongoing point under discussion	
Noise and Vibration	Ongoing point under discussion	
Traffic and Transport	Ongoing point under discussion	
Air Quality	Agreed	
Landscape and Visual Resources	Ongoing point under discussion	
Arboriculture	Ongoing point under discussion	
Cumulative Effects Assessment	Ongoing point under discussion	
Draft Development Consent Order	Ongoing point under discussion	

#### 1.3 **Summary of Consultation**

1.3.1.1 Table 1.2 below provides an overview of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with DCC during the pre-application phases of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Table 1.3 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with DCC during the post-application phases of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



Summary of pre-application consultation with DCC. **Table 1.2:** 

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation	
15 June 2022	Scoping Opinion	Statutory engagement	DCC broadly agreed with the scope of the EIA but noted that both onshore and offshore elements during the construction and operation phases should be included in the cumulative assessment. DCC stated that Best and Most Versatile agricultural land effects should be scoped into the EIA on the basis that the area of search for the onshore works had not been defined. DCC also stated that ecological impacts on Great Crested Newt (GCN) should be scoped in for the construction and operational phases due to known presence of GCN in the St. Asaph / Bodelwyddan area of North East Wales.	
16 June 2022	Onshore Ecology Expert Working Group (EWG)	Non-statutory engagement	First EWG – matters discussed include overview of project and purpose of EWG, intertidal ornithology (wintering and passage birds), other onshore ecology surveys (methodologies).	
08 December 2022	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Second EWG – matters discussed included the approach to baseline characterisation, including relevant study areas; comments within Scoping Opinion; the approach to the PEIR; and an update on progress of surveys.	
24 April 2023	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Third EWG – matters discussed including the methodologies and proposed locations of the protected species surveys; assumptions on presence/absence of key receptor species; and the approach to biodiversity benefit requirements.	
17 May 2023	Highways EWG	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed include summary of traffic and transport PEIR chapter and existing known highway issues.	
01 June 2023	Section 42 Statutory Consultation Response	Statutory engagement	DCC stated that consideration should be given to the proximity of the Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium. Disruption to the peaceful and tranqui setting will be felt both during construction work and when any building is constructed. Cumulative impacts should also be examined further given the potential for this business to be flanked by substations.	
7 June 2023	Meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion of Hydrology and flood risk EWG remit and way of working	
			Discussion of desk top sources for baseline characterisation	
			Discussion of Hydrology and flood risk constraints	
			<ul> <li>Discussion of coastal flood defences</li> <li>Discussion of approach of drainage strategy for Onshore substation.</li> </ul>	
19 July 2023	Onshore Ecology EWG	Non-statutory engagement	Fourth EWG – matters discussed include: project update (including substation access), onshore and intertidal ornithology (surveys, mitigation), onshore ecology (surveys, digital data sharing platform, Section 42 consultation responses).	

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
04 October 2023	Onshore Ecology EWG	Non-statutory engagement	Fifth EWG – matters discussed include: project update (alterations at landfall/intertidal area, alterations along onshore cable corridor, mitigation requirements and engineering decisions, Ancient Woodland mapping), onshore and intertidal ornithology (survey progress), onshore ecology (survey progress, further survey requirement, Great Crested Newt (GCN) mitigation areas / strategy, digital data sharing platform) and landscape and ecological strategy.
08 December	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Sixth EWG – matters discussed include
2023			Key technical, engineering, and environmental work undertaken, including key design changes since the previous EWG
			The approach to onshore ecology and onshore and intertidal ornithology surveys, including the survey programme, survey progress to date and notable interim survey results
			Discussed mitigation requirements, including measures to be incorporated into the Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-212) and Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (APP-208).
26 January 2024	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Discussed the operational noise assessment, the location of the receptors and the proposed noise limits for the operation of the Onshore Substation.

Table 1.3: Summary of post-application consultation with DCC.

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
30 April 2024	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Post Acceptance Engagement. Matters discussed include project update and DCO Examination timeline, forward process, SoCG progress and land interests.
15 May 2024	Onshore Ecology EWG	Non-statutory engagement	Seventh EWG – matters discussed include: project update, illustrative landscape and ecology strategy, key milestones and next steps.
13 June 2024	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Update meeting – project and Examination updates, relevant representations, approach to SoCGs and overview of previous actions.
31 May 2024	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Noise update - matters discussed include: project update, key milestones and next steps
16 August 2024	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Meeting following publication of LIR at Deadline 1 to discuss matters raised in the LIR and agreement of approach to SoCG.
25 September 2024	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Meeting to discuss submission of the SoCG at Deadline 3.



#### 1.4 **Agreement log**

1.4.1.1 This section of the SoCG sets out the level of agreement between the parties. For each matter the status is identified as being either agreed, not agreed or an ongoing point of discussion, according to the criteria set out in Table 1.4 below.

Position definitions and colour coding. **Table 1.4:** 

Position and colour coding	Definition of position
Agreed	The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.
Ongoing point of discussion	The matter is neither agreed or not agreed, and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties.
Not agreed, but not material	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties, but is not deemed material
Not agreed	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties.

1.4.1.2 Table 1.5 to Table 1.114 set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.2).



# 1.4.2 Onshore ecology

Table 1.5: Agreement Log between the parties on Onshore Ecology.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.OE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of	DCC agrees that the Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation.	Agreed
		the Mona Offshore Wind Project on onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology).	Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered to be agreed.	
DCC.OE.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC via statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology).	set up with NRW, DCC, CCBC, Welsh Government, Royal Society for the Protection of	Agreed
			Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.4.2).	
DCC.OE.3	Policy and planning	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology), within DCC's remit.	and considered all plans and policies relevant to	Agreed
			Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	

Document Reference: S\_D3\_22 ......Page 7



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.OE.4	Surveys – Great Crested Newts	Agreement that population size class assessment surveys of great crested newts do not have to be undertaken for ponds subject to ongoing monitoring (e.g. Burbo Bank mitigation ponds) or ponds that have been surveyed within the last two years (by the time of the Mona Offshore Wind Project DCO application. Agreement has been reached with NRW and this is confirmed in the Mona and Natural Resource Wales (advisory) Onshore SoCG (REP1-026).	however if there is a major concern with obtaining a license, Councils need to be made aware.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.OE.5	Surveys	The site-specific surveys have been undertaken in accordance with agreed methodologies.	The Councils consider that sufficient desk studies and ecological surveys were completed to inform the baseline both for the cable corridor and the intertidal cable landfall.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.4.2).	



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.OE.6	Surveys	Sufficient primary and secondary data (including site-specific surveys) has been collated to appropriately characterise the onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology) baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 3: Onshore Ecology (APP-066) and Chapter 4: Onshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-067).  Agreement on the onshore wintering and migratory bird surveys has since been reached with NRW, and it is anticipated that the onshore ornithology HRA will be agreed with NRW by Deadline 5.  Agreement has been reached with NRW in respect of the matter set out under DCC.OE.4 and this is confirmed in the Mona and Natural Resource Wales (advisory) Onshore SoCG (REP1-026).  Additional detail in respect of pre-construction barn owl survey requirements is included in an updated oLEMP to be submitted at Deadline 4.	approach and methodology used to inform the ecological baseline of the onshore elements of the proposal. However, the Councils have expressed concern that the onshore wintering and migratory bird surveys for the onshore area are limited in nature, and defer to NRW on the impact of this in informing the HRA given relation to intertidal/offshore elements (See REP1-049.37 of the LIR). The Councils also await confirmation of the position on GCN licensing from NRW/the Applicant (see DCC.OE.4). Finally, the Councils have concerns relating to barn owl surveys which could be resolved through the LEMP but are currently under discussion (DCC.OE.17)	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.OE.7	Baseline environment	The onshore ecology and onshore and intertidal ornithology baseline has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3 Chapter 3: Onshore Ecology (APP-066) and Chapter 4: Onshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-067).	significant gaps in the ecological baseline and that the baseline is sufficient in order to make an	Agreed
DCC.OE.8	Study area	The onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology) study area is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed.		Agreed
DCC.OE.9	Project design envelope	The assessment has appropriately defined the Maximum Design Scenario (MDS) for the purposes of EIA.		Agreed

Document Reference: S\_D3\_22 .......Page 9



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.OE.10	Assessment methodology - receptors	The sensitivity of onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology) receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 3, Chapter 3: Onshore Ecology (APP-066) and Chapter 4: Onshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-067).	Ecological Features identified and their relative value and sensitivity; the magnitude of the impact; and the significance of the effect provided in Section 3.9 (APP-066) and within Section 4.9 (APP-067).  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section	Agreed
			3.4.2)	
DCC.OE.11	Assessment methodology – Onshore Ecology and Onshore and Intertidal Ornithology	The methodologies used within Volume 3 Chapter 3: Onshore Ecology (APP-066) and Chapter 4: Onshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-067) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project with regard to onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology).	ecology and onshore and intertidal ornithology approaches and methodologies.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3 4 2)	Agreed
DCC.OE.12	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant adverse effects on onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology) are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.	scenario for the onshore ecology and the onshore	Agreed
DCC.OE.13	Assessment of the effects from the project alone – hedgerows (ecology)	No significant adverse effects on hedgerows from an ecological perspective are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.	and significance of effect provided by the	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.OE.14	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on onshore ecology (including onshore and intertidal ornithology) are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project cumulatively with other project and plans.	Volume 3, Chapter 3: Onshore Ecology (APP-066) and Volume 3, Chapter 4: Onshore and	Agreed
DCC.OE.15	Mitigation	Development Consent Order (dDCO) (PDA-003)	mitigation and enhancements proposed for the onshore elements of the project will provide net benefits for biodiversity. However, as set out in DCC.OE.16 below the Councils are not currently confident that the mitigation and enhancement measures would be adequately delivered through the LEMP and therefore, cannot agree with the Applicant position that the measures 'ensure	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
Other Docum	ents and Plans			
DCC.OE.16	Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP)	The Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) (APP-208) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring.	mitigation measures that will benefit both	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.OE.17	Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (APP-212) and the accompanying outline management plans will be secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring.	further consultation will take place in relation to updates to the CoCP should consent be granted.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.OE.18	LEMP – Barn Owls	Additional detail in respect of pre-construction barn owl survey requirements will be included in an updated oLEMP to be submitted at Deadline 4.		Ongoing points of discussion
DCC.OE.19	Outline Bird Protection Plan in Appendix E of the outline LEMP - netting	The reference to the use of netting of vegetation outside of the breeding bird season will be removed in an updated oLEMP to be submitted at Deadline 4.	in the LEMP states 'Netting of vegetation outside	Ongoing points of discussion



## 1.4.3 Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions

Table 1.6: Agreement Log between the parties on Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.GHGC.1	Consultation	consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of	DCC agrees that the Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation.	Agreed
		the Mona Offshore Wind Project on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions	Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	
DCC.GHGC.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions.	engagement on this topic has been limited, as	Not agreed but not material
DCC.GHGC.3	Policy and planning	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions, within DCC's remit.	and considered all plans and policies relevant to	Agreed
			Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	
DCC.GHGC.4	Surveys		Agreed, noting that further work to identify private water supplies is required and is secured via the Outline CoCP.	Agreed
DCC.GHGC.5	Surveys	Sufficient data has been collated to appropriately characterise the geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-064).	inform the assessment, noting the conservative approach taken to private water supplies given lack of data (see DCC.GHGC.5).	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.GHGC.6	Baseline environment	The geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions baseline has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-064).		Agreed
DCC.GHGC.7	Study area	The geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions study area is appropriate for the impacts and the receptors assessed.		Agreed
DCC.GHGC.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions receptors have been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-064).	line with industry standards.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section	Agreed
DCC.GHGC.9	Assessment methodology	The potential impacts identified within Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-064) represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts in relation to geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions.	Chapter 1 [APP-064] adequately considers the range of potential effects to hydrogeology and	Agreed
DCC.GHGC.10	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant adverse effects on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project		Agreed
DCC.GHGC.11	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project cumulatively with other projects and plans.		Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.GHGC.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-064) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-196) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.		Agreed
Other Docume	ents and Plans			
DCC.GHGC.13	Outline management plans	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-212) and the accompanying outline management plans will be secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures.		Agreed



# 1.4.4 Hydrology and Flood Risk

Table 1.7: Agreement Log between the parties on Hydrology and Flood Risk.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.HFR.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of the Mona Offshore Wind Project on hydrology and flood risk.		Ongoing points of discussion
			Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	
DCC.HFR.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on hydrology and flood risk.		Not agreed but not material
DCC.HFR.3	Policy and planning	The Application has identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to hydrology and flood risk, within DCC's remit.		Agreed
			Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	
DCC.HFR.4	Surveys	Agreement that desk -based information is adequate to characterise the hydrology and flood risk baseline and that site-specific surveys are not required.  Baseline information in respect of fluvial geomorphology has been compiled and is to be submitted at Deadline 4.	information presented on the fluvial	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.HFR.5	Surveys	Sufficient data has been collated to appropriately characterise the hydrology and flood risk baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065).		Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.HFR.6	Baseline environment	The hydrology and flood risk baseline has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065).  Baseline information in respect of fluvial geomorphology has been compiled and is to be submitted at Deadline 4.		Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.HFR.7	Study area	The hydrology and flood risk study area is appropriate for the impacts and the receptors assessed.		Agreed
DCC.HFR.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the hydrology and flood risk receptors has been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065).		Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.HFR.9	Assessment methodology	The methodologies used within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project	standards.	Agreed
DCC.HFR.10	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant adverse effects on hydrology and flood risk are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.  Specific assessment of geomorphological impacts was not undertaken in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065), however the WFD assessment considers the hydromorphological supporting conditions of a water body which includes geomorphology.		Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.HFR.11	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant adverse effects on hydrology and flood risk are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.  Paragraph 1.10.4.3 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice (REP2-038) details the controls that will be put in place to ensure flood risk from surface runoff is not increased due to the haul road.		Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.HRF.12	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on hydrology and flood risk are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project cumulatively with other projects and plans  Specific assessment of geomorphological impacts was not undertaken in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065), however the WFD assessment considers the hydromorphological supporting conditions of a water body which includes geomorphology.	Councils are concerned that the omissions from the assessment mean that the water environment effects are not fully reported.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.HRF.13	Mitigation	The mitigation measures identified within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-065) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-196) and secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.  Paragraph 1.6.4.1 of the Outline Construction Surface Water and Drainage Management Plan (REP2-050) includes detail in respect of management measures to be implemented to mitigate temporary changes in run-off. In addition, paragraph 1.10.4.3 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice (REP2-038) details the controls that will be put in place to ensure flood risk from surface runoff is not increased due to the haul road.	additional mitigation to mitigate temporary changes in runoff during construction. This would likely take the form of temporary attenuation features such as roadside swales and/or basins. This is unlikely to alter the outcome of the assessment but needs to be fully considered as part of the commitments in Table 2.20 during detailed design.	Ongoing point of discussion
Other Docum	nents and Plans			
DCC.HRF.14	Outline Management Plans	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-212) and the accompanying outline management plans will be secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures.  Paragraph 1.6.4.1 of the Outline Construction Surface Water and Drainage Management Plan (REP2-050) includes detail in respect of management measures to be implemented to mitigate temporary changes in run-off. In addition, paragraph 1.10.4.3 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice (REP2-038) details the controls that will be put in place to ensure flood risk from surface runoff is not increased due to the haul road.		Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.HRF.15	Land drainage consent	The necessary information which would ordinarily be required to inform an application for Ordinary Watercourse Consent can be submitted to the Examination in order that the Land Drainage Act 1991 can be disapplied.	Required [APP-185] that the Applicant is seeking to disapply the Land Drainage Act 1991 through	



## 1.4.5 Noise and Vibration

Table 1.8: Agreement Log between the parties on Noise and Vibration.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.NV.1	Consultation	consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of	DCC agrees that the Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation.	Agreed
		the Mona Offshore Wind Project on noise and vibration.	Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	
DCC.NV.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on noise and vibration.	This is agreed in principle, noting that pre- application engagement on this topic has been limited, as identified in the technical engagement plan appendices S.	Not agreed but not material
DCC.NV.3	Policy and planning	The Application has identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to noise and vibration, within DCC's remit.		Agreed
			Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.7.1).	
DCC.NV.4	Surveys	The site-specific surveys for noise and vibration have been undertaken in accordance with agreed methodologies		Agreed
DCC.NV.5	Surveys	Sufficient primary and secondary data (including site-specific surveys) has been collated to appropriately characterise the noise and vibration baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072).		Agreed
DCC.NV.6	Baseline environment	The baseline environment for noise and vibration is appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072).	Agreed.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.NV.7	Study area	for the impacts and the receptors assessed.  a re  S th	DCC considers that the study area for the noise and vibration assessment is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts	Agreed
			Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the Applicant proposes that this matter is agreed.	
DCC.NV.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the noise and vibration receptors has been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072).	Agreed.	Agreed
DCC.NV.9	Assessment methodology	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project	reported is appropriate and has applied methods	Agreed
DCC.NV.10	Assessment methodology – construction noise	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project in respect of construction noise.	relevant British Standard (BS5228:201945) and makes assumptions about plant and working	Agreed
DCC.NV.11	Assessment methodology – operational noise	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project in respect of operational noise.	undertaken in line with BS4142:2014+A1:2019	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.NV.12	Assessment methodology – construction vibration	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project in respect of construction vibration.  Further information in respect of construction vibration has been prepared and is to be submitted to the Examination at Deadline 4.	except that no consideration has been given to amplification of vibration through structural amplification of vibration which affects the assessment of people's perception of and disturbance by vibration. See REP1-049.88 of	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.NV.13	Assessment methodology – operational vibration	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project in respect of operational vibration.	Environmental Statement - Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration (APP-072) that there would	Agreed
DCC.NV.14	Project design envelope	The appropriate Maximum Design Scenario has been used in the Volume 7, Annex 9.2: Construction Noise and Vibration Technical Report (APP-179) and Annex 9.3: Operational Noise Assessment (APP-180)	clarification is sought on where the piling methods of the maximum design scenario are	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.NV.15	Assessment of the effects from the project alone – construction noise	No significant adverse effects in respect of construction noise are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.		Agreed
DCC.NV.16	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant adverse effects on noise and vibration are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.  Further information in respect of construction vibration has been prepared and is to be submitted to the Examination at Deadline 4.		Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.NV.17	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on noise and vibration are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project cumulatively with other projects and plans	Section 9.11 in Environmental Statement -	Agreed
DCC.NV.18	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in the Volume 3, Chapter 9: Noise and Vibration and Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-196) are secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and are appropriate will ensure significant effects are avoided.	describes embedded mitigation measures that	Agreed
Other Docum	nents and Plans			
DCC.NV.19	Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (APP-215)	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-212) and the accompanying Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (APP-215) will be secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation and monitoring measures.	outline CNVMP are appropriate and would be expected to mitigate and minimise impacts.	Agreed



## 1.4.6 Traffic and Transport

 Table 1.9:
 Agreement Log between the parties on Traffic and Transport.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.TT.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of the Mona Offshore Wind Project on traffic and transport.	adequate consultation.	Agreed
DCC.TT.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on traffic and transport.	and Mid Wales Trunk Road Agent have raised	Agreed
			Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.5.2).	
DCC.TT.3	Policy and planning	The Application has identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to traffic and transport, within DCC's remit.		Agreed
			Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.5.2).	
DCC.TT.4	Surveys	The site-specific surveys for traffic and transport have been undertaken in accordance with appropriate methodologies		Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.TT.5	Surveys	Sufficient primary and secondary data (including site-specific surveys) has been collated to appropriately characterise the traffic and transport baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport (APP-071).		Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.TT.6	Baseline environment	The baseline environment for traffic and transport has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport (APP-071).		Agreed
DCC.TT.7	Study area	The traffic and transport study area is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed.	DCC considers that the study area for the traffic and transport is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.5.2).	Agreed
DCC.TT.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the traffic and transport receptors has been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport (APP-071).		Agreed
DCC.TT.9	Assessment methodology	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport (APP-071) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project.	on best practice guidance and applies the two	Agreed
DCC.TT.10	Project design envelope	The appropriate Maximum Design Scenario has been used to identify, describe and assess the construction vehicle trip generation, distribution and assignment in Volume 7, Annex 8.5: Construction Vehicle Trip Assumptions (APP-175).	area.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.TT.11	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant adverse effects on traffic and transport are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project.		Agreed
DCC.TT.12	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on traffic and transport are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project cumulatively with other projects and plans  A response to Local Impact Report was submitted at Deadline 2 (REP1-049.64) to confirm the Applicant's position that there is no requirement to expand the traffic and transport study area to undertake the Cumulative Effects Assessment. The response includes further justification which is not contained in Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport (APP-071).  Further detail has been provided at Deadline 3 in response to the Examining Authority's written question Q1.22.1 (S_D3_25.9).	The study area being set to 1km from the Onshore Mona Development Area means that a wider, more strategic assessment has not been undertaken. This is pertinent to the Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) which has been limited as a result. The impact on the local and specifically the Strategic Road Network could reach out significantly beyond 1km. Whist the extent of the traffic and transport study area was agreed, it is considered that the CEA should not be based on the same area. The Councils consider this matter would benefit from further justification by the Applicant.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.TT.13	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in the Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-196) and secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) are appropriate will ensure significant effects are avoided.	management plans as agreed in DCC.TT.14 to DCC.TT.17 below.	Agreed
Other Docun	nents and Plans	1		
DCC.TT.14	Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (APP-225) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation and monitoring measures.  A response to Local Impact Report was submitted at Deadline 2 (REP1-049.64) to confirm the Applicant's position that there is no requirement to expand the traffic and transport study area to undertake the Cumulative Effects Assessment. The response includes further justification which is not contained in Volume 3, Chapter 8: Traffic and Transport (APP-071).  Further detail has been provided at Deadline 3 in response to the Examining Authority's written question Q1.22.1 (S_D3_25.9).	Plan provides a suitable level of detail of appropriate mitigation and is broadly accepted. However, the Councils have some concern over the CEA and without being confident of that assessment, cannot be certain that other measures are not required in the CTMP. Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.5.2).	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.TT.15	Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy	The Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (APP-229) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation and monitoring measures.	Rights of Way Management Strategy (APP-229)	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.TT.16	Outline Highways Access Management Plan	The Outline Highways Access Management Plan (APP-228) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation and monitoring measures.  Updates are being made to the Outline Highways Access Management Plan (APP-228) and the Other Consents and Licences (APP-185) in relation to approvals for street works and creation of site accesses and updated documents are to be submitted to the Examination at a later deadline.		Ongoing point of discussion





# 1.4.7 Air Quality

## Table 1.10: Agreement Log between the parties on Air Quality.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.AQ.1	Air quality – overall	All matters are agreed.	No matters remain under discussion that have not been agreed by the parties.	Agreed



# 1.4.8 Landscape and Visual Resources

Table 1.11: Agreement Log between the parties on Landscape and Visual Resources.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.LVI.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of the Mona Offshore Wind Project on landscape and visual impact.	adequate consultation.	Agreed
DCC.LVI.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on landscape and visual impact.	application engagement on this topic has been	Not agreed but not material
DCC.LVI.3	Policy	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to landscape and visual impact within DCC's remit.	and considered all plans and policies relevant to	Agreed
DCC.LVI.4	Surveys	The site-specific surveys have been undertaken in accordance with agreed methodologies.	Agreed.	Agreed

Document Reference: S\_D3\_22 Page 32



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.LVI.5	Surveys	Sufficient primary and secondary data (including site-specific surveys) has been collated to appropriately characterise the landscape and visual baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual Resources (APP-069).	and the viewpoints representing a range of visual receptors included in the SLVIA is adequate.  The exception to this is the potential need to visit the Memorial Crematorium to undertake	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.LVI.6	Baseline environment		The baseline drawn seems to be appropriate and proportionate to the proposed onshore aspects of the proposed development.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.3.2).	Agreed
DCC.LVI.7	Study area	The landscape and visual resources study area is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed.		Agreed
DCC.LVI.8	Project design envelope	The assessment in Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual Resources (APP-069) has appropriately defined the Maximum Design Scenario (MDS) for the purposes of EIA.	lighting provision in the LVIA and project	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.LVI.9	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of landscape and visual receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual Resources (APP-069).	scope of the assessment and the extent and granularity of the baseline drawn is appropriate and proportionate to the proposed development. However, the use of split assessment categories in defining receptor sensitivity has led to uncertainty over some of the assessments made Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.LVI.10	Assessment methodology	The methodologies used within Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual Resources (APP-069) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project with regard to landscape and visual impact.		Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.LVI.11	Assessment of the effects from the project alone on Offa's Dyke and Clwydian Range AONB	The likely adverse residual effects (in EIA terms) identified within Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and visual resource (APP-069) in respect of Offa's Dyke and Clwydian Range AONB will be of minor adverse significance which is not significant in EIA terms.	effects from the project alone is robust and correct. Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049)	Agreed
DCC.LVI.12	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	The likely significant adverse residual effects (in EIA terms) identified within Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and visual resource (APP-069) represent a comprehensive list of the likely significant adverse residual effects on landscape and visual resources.	methodological issues around the way split assessment categories have been used/presented and the overly high significance	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.LVI.13	Assessment of the effects from the project alone on users of Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium		being scoped out of the assessment.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.LVI.14	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	The likely significant adverse residual effects (in EIA terms) which are predicted to arise from the development of Mona Offshore Wind Project cumulatively with other project and plans identified within Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and visual resource (APP-069) represent a comprehensive list of the likely significant adverse residual effects on landscape and visual resources.	Pilgrims Way have not been assessed.  In the absence of any specific cumulative assessment criteria, it is assumed that the applicant has used the same assessment criteria and definitions as for the Landscape and visual	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.LVI.15	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in the Volume 3, Chapter 6: Landscape and visual resource (APP-069) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-196) are secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and are appropriate.  The mitigation proposed is designed to address both effects of the project alone and any potential cumulative effects.	mitigation and the landscape design as presented in Figure 6.5 to be appropriate and adequate to address the effects from the onshore substation that predicted in the submitted SLVIA. A revisit to the assessments following resolution	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.LVI.16	Reinstatement	Requirement 15 of the dDCO (PDA-003) requires any land landward of MLW which is used temporarily for construction of the onshore works and not ultimately incorporated in permanent works or approved landscaping or ecological works must be reinstated within 12 months of completion of the relevant stage of the onshore works. Other applications which include controls to ensure appropriate reinstatement include:  • Outline Soil Management Plan (REP2-054)  • Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (REP2-034)  Further controls could be contained within the oLEMP to be submitted at a later deadline in order for this matter to be agreed.	reinstate habitats and landscape elements following completion of the onshore cable routes and construction compounds. Could the applicant please point the Councils to where this information is provided and how its detailed design, delivery and aftercare will be secured in the DCO.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.LVI.17	Lighting	No permanent lighting is proposed at the substation however, security lighting and emergency lighting will be in use during operation and task lighting may be required for construction as necessary.	LVIA. Included in this is 'Security lighting will be required at the compounds. Task lighting may	Ongoing point of discussion
			The Project Description text from paragraph 3.7.3.33 is different to this and says that operational car park, security and maintenance lighting will also be included in the proposed development. However, the construction or operational effects assessments in the LVIA do not once mention any lighting or nighttime effects.	
			At table 6.7, in response to NRW's consultation response in June 2023, the applicant excludes nighttime photography from the assessment.	
			The Councils maintain that the potential for construction and operational lighting effects has been overlooked in the LVIA.	
			If that is incorrect, could the applicant please point the Councils to evidence in the assessment that the potential for night-time effects from construction and operation have been adequately assessed.	



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
Other Documents and Plans				
DCC.LVI.18	Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP)	The Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) (APP-208) and the Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (APP-212) and the accompanying outline management plans are secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring.	Management Plan (OLEMP) [APP-208] general principles and objectives as set out in outline, appear to be appropriate in terms of caring for the soft landscape and habitats mitigation and	Ongoing point of discussion



# 1.4.9 Arboriculture

Table 1.12: Agreement Log between the parties on Arboriculture.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.ARB.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DCC on the potential impacts of	DCC agrees that the Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation.	Agreed
		the Mona Offshore Wind Project on arboriculture.	Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	
DCC.ARB.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by DCC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on arboriculture.	This is agreed in principle, noting that pre- application engagement on this topic has been limited	Agreed
DCC.ARB.3	Policy	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to arboriculture, within DCC's remit.	DCC agrees that the Application has identified and considered all plans and policies relevant to air quality, within DCC's remit.	Agreed
			Source: In the absence of specific comment in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) the matter is considered agreed.	

Document Reference: S\_D3\_22 Page 40



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.ARB.4	Surveys	The site-specific surveys have been undertaken in accordance with agreed methodologies. Additional survey data has been collected since the submission of the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project and is to be provided to the Examination at Deadline 3.	The overall approach to undertaking tree survey has been found acceptable. Given the nature of the Order Limits in terms of size, the approach taking to tree plotting and the level of accuracy is reasonable and acceptable. However around one third of the Order Limits (the Onshore Cable Corridor) was not accessible for the surveyors, and in this area, trees have been surveyed from afar and plotted using aerial photography. As no ground-level survey was conducted, most of the characteristics of these trees, including their RPAs, stem diameters, veteran status, age class, estimated life expectancy and condition, have been estimated. An updated survey is awaited at Deadline 3.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.8.1).	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.ARB.5	Baseline environment	The baseline environment for arboriculture is appropriately characterised in the Tree survey and arboriculture impact assessment (APP-160-167). Additional survey data has been collected since the submission of the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project and is to be provided to the Examination at Deadline 3.	Insofar as can be judged without on-site verification, for the areas (roughly two thirds of the Order Limits) subject to detailed survey, the baseline assessment of trees is acceptable, and conforms to both BS5837:2012 and DCC's Policy RD1.  This matter cannot be fully agreed given the gap in the baseline survey.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.8.1).	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.ARB.6	Study area	The arboriculture study area is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed.	A detailed survey of trees, woodlands and hedges within and within influencing distance of the Order Limits was carried out as a baseline assessment, in accordance with British Standard BS5837:2012.49. This is considered acceptable.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.ARB.7	Assessment methodology	The methodology used within the Tree survey and arboriculture impact assessment (APP-160-167) is appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project with regard to arboriculture.  Additional survey data has been collected since the submission of the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project and is to be provided to the Examination at Deadline 3.	Regarding the gaps in the survey, a generic methodology has been proposed to deal with trees in these areas by which trees are subjected to an assessment of their likelihood to constrain development based on their likely proximity to construction activities (a BRAG system). This is not an adequate substitute for a detailed assessment of the impact of the proposals on trees because it cannot properly take into account the required Construction Exclusion Zones needed for each tree, as these are based on RPAs which could not be calculated, or veteran status (veteran trees are afforded specific protection under PPW 12) and also require an extended buffer zone around their RPAs.  We await the updated survey information and revised assessment to determine the impacts on trees in the remaining third of the site.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.8.1).	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.ARB.8	Assessment methodology	Statutory protections covering the trees/woodlands within the Order Limits are appropriately identified and considered within the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA).	A desktop exercise to establish the existence of statutory protections covering the trees/woodlands within the Order Limits is presented within the AIA. There are no Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) covering trees within or within influencing distance of the Order Limits within Denbighshire County.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.ARB.9	Assessment methodology	Special designations covering Ancient Woodland and veteran trees are appropriately identified and considered within the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA).	Ancient woodland within or within influencing distance of the Order Limits has been identified with reference to DataMap Wales (a dataset based on the national Ancient Woodland Inventory) and is identified on the Tree Survey Plan, Tree and Hedge Protection Plan and Tree and Hedgerow Plan in sufficient detail for the effects on Ancient Woodland to be assessed.	Ongoing point of discussion
			Veteran trees are identified on the Tree and Hedgerow Plan (B14), based on acceptable criteria set out in the AIA. Of the 12 veteran trees identified during the survey, only 3 are within the order limits. However, the presence of veteran trees within the area assessed with reference to aerial photography has not been assessed, and therefore the data is incomplete in this regard. No reference has been made to the Ancient Tree Inventory to cross-reference the surveyed data with this dataset, as recommended in PPW12. This exercise should be undertaken by the Applicant.  Important hedges covered by the Hedgerow Regulations (1997) are identified on the Tree and	
			Hedgerow Plan (B14) in sufficient detail for the impacts to be assessed.	
DCC.ARB.10	Assessment the effects from the project – general	The impact assessment contained within the Tree survey and arboriculture impact assessment (APP-160-167) accurately characterises the potential construction effects on arboriculture.	Given the scale of development, the number of tree removals as stated in the AIA is acceptable. However, given the lack of detailed assessment of the impacts of the cable route on retained trees, the true number of trees that will require removal cannot be assessed.	Ongoing point of discussion
			In addition to an updated survey and assessment at Deadline 3, the Councils request that all tree and hedge removals are tabulated for ease of reference, as well as shown on plan, both in the updated AIA for the ES and the final AMS.	



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCB.ARB.11	Assessment the effects from the project – temporary haul road	The Applicant confirms that a temporary haul road within the Onshore Cable Corridor has been considered in the AIA, however it is not shown on the Tree and Hedgerow Protection Plan because location of the haul road will be confirmed during detailed design.	The Councils contend that the effects of the Temporary Haul Road cannot be assessed if its route is not shown in relation to the tree survey data. However, it is accepted that this will be provided at detailed design.	Not agreed, but not material
DCC.ARB.12	Assessment the effects from the project -operational	The impact assessment contained within the Tree survey and arboriculture impact assessment (APP-160-167) accurately characterises the potential operational effects on arboriculture.	The Councils agree on balance that it can be concluded that the impacts of operational phase on trees and woodlands are likely to be negligible.	Agreed
DCC.ARB.13	Assessment the effects from the project	The impact assessment contained within the Tree survey and arboriculture impact assessment (APP-160-167) accurately characterises the potential decommissioning effects on arboriculture.	The effects at the decommissioning stage are likely to be minimal, as the buried onshore cable will be left in situ and capped off at the ends. Access for plant and materials near trees may be required in the decommissioning of the substation, but provided that suitable tree protection is put in place prior to the commencement of the decommissioning works, the impacts should be negligible.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.8.1).	Agreed
DCC.ARB.14	Mitigation	The mitigation, including trenchless crossings and the Root Protection Areas (RPAs) identified on the Tree Survey Plan and Tree Protection Plan are adequate and will ensure trees are sufficiently protected.	The main principle followed for the tree protection is that of exclusion with physical barriers erected so as to protect the RPA/canopy extent. This principle is reasonable and follows best practice as set out in BS5837: 2012.	Agreed
Other Docum	ents and Plans			
DCC.ARB.15	Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan [APP-208]	The Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) (APP-208) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring.	Mitigation proposals involve the extensive planting of trees and woodlands. However, in the absence of a full assessment of the impacts of the development, it is not possible to determine whether adequate ratios of losses to mitigation have been achieved; this will need to be set out in the final LEMP.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.ARB.16	Outline Arboriculture Method Statement [APP-230]	The Outline Arboriculture Method Statement (APP-230) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring. The Outline Arboriculture Method Statement (APP-230) has been updated and was submitted at Deadline 2.	In the LIR, the Councils made reference to additional points to be included in the outline AMS. The Councils await an update on any amendments to the outline AMS as stated, to be confident that the DCO requirements will secure adequate specific detail. The Outline AMS should secure as a minimum the points in I-VI as per the Councils original comment in the LIR.	



# 1.4.10 Cumulative Effects Assessment

Table 1.13: Agreement Log between the parties on Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA).

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
EIA				
DCC.CEA.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DCC on the longlist of cumulative developments to be included within the CEA.	DCC submitted a list of projects to be added to the CEA in its S42 response in June 2023. DCC confirms that these projects have been added to the CEA provided with the DCO application.  Source: Local Impact Report (REP1-049) (section 3.10).	Agreed
DCC.CEA.2	Study area	The study area for the CEA is appropriate in terms of the potential for developments within the study area to give rise to potential cumulative effects.	Please see comments under transport section regarding study area, reference DCC.TT.12	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.CEA.3	Assessment methodology	The methodology used within the CEA is appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Mona Offshore Wind Project.	As per REP1-049.135, the Councils consider further clarification is required as to why projects scoped out due to lack of data have not been assessed qualitatively. The Councils further require clarification on the reasoning and approach of concluding 'potentially' significant effects as non-significant.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.CEA.4	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	The assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects is appropriate with respect of the topics listed in Tables 1.5 – 1.9 above.	The Councils do not agree with the conclusions of the CEA in respect of landscape, and reserve position based on ongoing queries.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.CEA.5	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	The significant adverse cumulative effects identified in respect of the Mona Offshore Wind Farm are in relation to Human Health (APP-078) and Historic Environment (APP-068)only.	The Councils query the Applicant position, given that the Planning Statement and the response to the LIR appear to suggest there are no significant adverse cumulative effects, once other factors (not secured by the DCO) are taken into account. This reflects the points made by the Councils in the LIR on the confusing approach to concluding and reporting the cumulative effects. The Councils further consider that there are potentially significant cumulative landscape and visual effects, please see DCC.LVI.14.  The Councils also note that an updated assessment is required to take account of progress in scoped-in projects since DCO submission and await the outcome of this before concluding any position on effects.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.CEA.6	Mitigation	The mitigation measures in respect of significant adverse cumulative effects on Human Health (APP-078) and Historic Environment (APP-068) receptors are secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and are appropriate.	As above, the 'mitigation' measures which the Applicant appears to be reporting as reducing effects to non-significant are not factors that are secured in the DCO. For example the potentially significant heritage effect is concluded to be non-significant as the effect is attributed to Awel Y Mor Wind Farm. That does not constitute a mitigation measure secured via the DCO. Furthermore, the Councils have requested that the Applicant make greater commitment to consideration and management of cumulative effects post-consent, via methods suggested in the LIR. The Councils consider such further commitment secured in the DCO is required.	Ongoing point of discussion



# 1.4.11 Draft Development Consent Order

Table 1.14: Agreement Log between the parties on Draft Development Consent Order (DCO).

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.DCO.1	Part 1, Article 2 Interpretation	The description of "onshore site preparation works" in the draft DCO is a complete description of the necessary pre-construction works which will be required to construct the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project and contains activities which are appropriately controlled by the Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-212) and accompanying Method Statements.	The Councils seek clarification as to whether 'creation of site accesses' only requires discharge/approval under Requirement 10 if a permanent access. If so, the Councils require this to include temporary accesses.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.2	Schedule 2, Requirement 4 'Stages of authorised project'	Requirement 4 of the draft DCO (PDA-003) provides a mechanisms for the construction of the Project to be staged according to the Work Nos. as described within Schedule 1 of the draft DCO.	The Councils agree with Requirement 4. The Councils consider a spatial plan, and a list of requirements scoped in to each stage, would be helpful, however recognise that this can be discussed at point of discharge of Requirement 4.	Not agreed but not material
DCC.DCO.3	Schedule 2, Requirement 6 'Detailed design parameters onshore'	Requirement 6 appropriately controls the construction parameters of the Project and aligns with the parameters assessed in the EIA.	Requirement 6 is agreed	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.4	Schedule 2, Requirement 7 'Provision of landscaping'	Requirement 7 secures the required detail of landscape design, implementation and management to be provided and approved prior to the commencement of Work No. 22 in order to achieve the mitigation set out in the Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (APP-196) and to achieve the aims of the outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (APP-208).	The Councils have identified further detail they would like included in the requirement and/or the LEMP and Design Principles. The Councils also require that the LEMP is amended to include for the appropriate mitigation/monitoring period of at least 30 years or operational lifetime.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.5	Schedule 2, Requirement 8 'Implementation and maintenance of landscaping'	Requirement 8 provides an appropriate mechanism for the landscaping required under Requirement 7 to be secured and maintained for an appropriate period.	The Councils disagree with this point, and consider the LEMP / DCO must secure the appropriate mitigation/monitoring period of at least 30 years or operational lifetime.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.DCO.6	Schedule 2, Requirement 10 'Highway accesses'	Requirement 10 secures a sufficient level of detail to be approved by discharge of the Requirement in respect of permanent means of access to a highway.	See DCC.DCO.1 – the Councils consider this Requirement should apply to temporary and permanent accesses and should require the maintenance of the access in perpetuity.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.7	Schedule 2, Requirement 12 'Landscape and ecology management plan'	The Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) (APP-208) is secured through the dDCO (PDA-003) and is appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures, monitoring and long-term management.	The Councils disagree with this point, and consider the LEMP / DCO must secure the appropriate mitigation/monitoring period of at least 30 years or operational lifetime.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.8	Schedule 2, Requirement 14 'Construction hours'	Requirement 14 secures sufficient controls to ensure that the construction hours of the Project are appropriate and do not give rise to unacceptable impact.	The Councils do not accept the position of the Applicant and continue to request that working hours are amended as per the request made at statutory consultation and in the LIR.	Ongoing point of discussion
			It is requested that the hours in paragraph (1) be modified to 0800 to 1800 from Monday to Friday, from 0800 to 1300 on Saturday and with no activity on Sunday or bank holidays. The Councils recognise that the Awel Y Mor Offshore Wind DCO scheme was consented with the working hours proposed by the Applicant, however there is significant concern regarding the potential cumulative impacts of more than one DCO scheme within the same locality working to hours that exceed those usually applied through the Councils standard planning conditions.	



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.DCO.9	Schedule 2, Requirement 15 'Restoration of land used temporarily for construction'	Requirement 15 secures sufficient control to ensure the restoration of any land used temporarily for construction.	The Councils remain unclear on the purpose and implementation of this requirement. The response from the Applicant states that the requirement is to enable the land to be reinstated to a condition that is different to its preconstruction state, at which point separate consent would be required. This is not clear in the wording of the requirement which reads as if there is a discharge responsibility from the Councils.  Furthermore, as raised in relation to landscape and visual, the Councils require further clarification on how the restoration and aftercare of construction compounds and cable routes is secured.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.10	Schedule 2, Requirement 16 'Control of operational artificial light emissions'	Requirement 16 secures sufficient details to be submitted in a written scheme for the management and mitigation of internal and external artificial light emissions from Work No. 22a.	The Applicant seeks clarity on the lighting assessment query (see landscape and visual) before this can be agreed.	Ongoing point of discussion
DCC.DCO.11	Schedule 12 'Approval of matters specified in requirements' Part 4 'Further information'	Schedule 12 secures an appropriate mechanism to allow the discharging authority to require further information in respect of the information submitted in discharge of requirements of the draft DCO (PDA-003).	The Councils consider that 10 days is an insufficient period of time to request further information, and request that this is amended to 15 working days. The Councils highlight more broadly a concern regarding the potential burden of work presented through the discharge of requirements process, particularly given the timescales proposed and the level of specialist advice likely to be required to review and determine technical detailed design. The Councils would welcome a discussion with the Applicant regarding potential mechanisms to support the Councils in managing the discharge of requirements, for example through the use of planning performance agreements (PPA) or similar.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	DCC's Position	Status
DCC.DCO.12	Streetworks Part 3, Article 10 Temporary stopping up of public rights of way, Part 3, Article 13	The streetworks powers contained within the draft DCO (PDA-003) are appropriate to allow the undertaker to construct the Project as set out under Schedule 1 of the draft DCO.	The Councils are agreed with this article.	Agreed.
DCC.DCO.13	Schedule 2 Requirement 7 'Control of noise during the operational stage'	Requirement 17 secures sufficient control to ensure that the operational noise level associated with the operation of Work No. 22A does not exceed an acceptable level at the nearest noise sensitive receptor (Tan y Bryn Uchaf).	The Councils are reviewing Requirement 17 and will provide an update on this position imminently.	Ongoing point of discussion